MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE Form CHANGE PROPOSAL						
Change Proposal refere (To be completed by the		мсс	P164	Version No.		D.1
PART A — SUB	PART A — SUBMISSION					
A.1. GENERAL DETAILS	A.1. GENERAL DETAILS					
A.1.a. TITLE	Changes to M	larket	Assurance Obliga	tions		
A.1.b. COMPANY	СМА					
Change Proposals must be authorised by the person designated by the signatory to the Market Code Framework / Accession Agreement						
A.1.c. AUTHORISED SIGNATURE				NAME	Gary	r Craig
A.1.d. CONTACT NAME	Gary Craig		CONTACT EMAIL; TEL/MOB.	Gary.Craig@	@cma	scotland.co.uk
A.1.e. Associated MCCP / OCCP						
A.1.f. ASSOCIATED DOCS.						
A.1.g. PROPOSED URGENCY	NON-URGENT	Non-Urgent				
A.1.h. REASONS FOR URGENCY						
The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP / OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1						

### A.2. MCCP / OCCP DETAILS

#### A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)

Currently, there are no obligations relating to the period between completing Market Assurance Testing and becoming active HVI Users. In a number of instances, new HVI Users have completed Market Assurance Testing, but then some weeks have passed before such Users have actually executed any transactions with the CMA Central Systems via their HVI. In this intervening period, changes could have occurred, either to the CMA Central Systems, or to the HVI Users' own systems and these changes could invalidate the Market Assurance that was previously undertaken. It is not clear that Market Re-Assurance would apply in these cases, since the status of the particular HVI remains new.

#### A.2.b. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET DOCUMENTS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)

### **General Description**

It is proposed to incorporate an additional discretionary decision for the CMA, which will allow the CMA to require that Market Assurance Testing should be re-executed if an HVI that has completed Market Assurance Testing has not subsequently been used to submit and/or receive transactions with the CMA Central Systems. This will ensure that the impact of any changes to the CMA Central Systems or Licensed Provider systems are captured and addressed prior to the Licensed Provider gaining Central Systems HVI access.

Principles and Objectives affected

	1	
PRINCIPLE	AFFECTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION
Proportionality	Y	Little or no cost is associated with this change. Whilst potentially requiring an additional step in MAT, this would normally be automated and involve no material overhead.
Transparency	Ν	
Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, and Security	Y	As it will be the same MAT tests that are re- executed there are no additional test scenarios for a Licensed Provider to execute.
Non-exclusivity	Ν	
Barriers to Entry	Ν	
Customer Contact	Ν	
Non-discrimination	Ν	

Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions	N	
MC / OC OBJECTIVES		

A.2.c. IMPACT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)		
CONFIGURED ITEM	IMPACTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION
MC / OC	Ν	
CSDs	Y	CSD001 will include an additional Obligation in Section 4.4
Wholesale Services Agreements	Ν	
Licenses	Ν	
CMA Central Systems	Ν	
CMA business processes	Ν	
Trading Party systems	N	
Trading party business processes	Ν	

# A.2.d. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT

Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

CSD0001 Section 4.1 should have the following clause added:

If a Licensed Provider does not execute any transactions with the Central Systems, using its HVI, within 20 Business Days of the completion of Market Assurance Testing, the CMA, at its own discretion, may deem that the previously completed Market Assurance Testing is no longer complete and may require the Market Assurance Testing to be re-executed.

CSD0001 Section 4.4 should have the following step added:

## Step f. Re-execution of Market Assurance Testing

If a Licensed Provider has not executed any transactions, via its HVI, within 20 Business Days of the completion of Market Assurance Testing, the CMA can require that Market Assurance Testing is reexecuted, in accordance with this CSD.

A.3.	IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS		
A.3.a.	. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval.		
Decei	December 2014		
A.3.b.	A.3.b. ANY LIMITATIONS OR DEPENDENCIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION		
A.4. ANY OTHER COMMENTS			

B.1. ASSESSMENT PROCESS				
B.1.a.	ASSESSMENT START DATE	2014-11-12	ASSESSMENT END DATE	2014-12-11
B.1.b.	IMPACT ASSESSM	ENT REQUIREMENT	IA NOT REQUIRED	
B.1.c.	CONSULTATION R	EQUIREMENT	TP CONSULTATION NOT	REQUIRED
B.1.d.	Associated doc (to this Part B)	UMENTS		
B.2.	ASSESSMENT DETA	ILS		
B.2.a.	CHANGE SPECIFIC (if different from	CATION AND IMPACT	ted)	
The TP approved this proposal, subject to the decision by the CMA to require re-execution of the MAT if, after 20 Business Days, the HVI has not been used to submit and/or receive any transactions with the CMA CS, being mandatory, instead of being discretionary.				
B.2.b.		T that originally submit	ed)	
CSD00	001 Section 4.1 sh	ould have the followi	ng clause added:	
If a Licensed Provider does not execute any transactions with the Central Systems, using its HVI, within 20 Business Days of the completion of Market Assurance Testing, the CMA will deem that the previously completed Market Assurance Testing is no longer sufficient and will require the Market Assurance Testing to be re-executed.				
CSD00	001 Section 4.4 sh	ould have the followi	ng step added:	
Step f. Re-execution of Market Assurance Testing				
If a Licensed Provider has not executed any transactions, via its HVI, within 20 Business Days of the completion of Market Assurance Testing, Market Assurance Testing will be re-executed, in accordance with this CSD.				
B.2.c. TP ASSESSMENT Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv)				
	t on Principles and erent from that orig			
Cost Estimate No material cost is incurred, since the change require no system development and involves minimal additio effort from the CMA and from LPs, operationally.			involves minimal additional	
Cost E				LPS, operationally.

(L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k)		require work-rounds or remedial effort to resolve is mitigated. Assume: M.
B.3.	TP DECISION	TP Approved
B.4.	FINAL TP VIEWS	Subject to the amendments in this section B.
B.5.	PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	January 2015

WITHDRAWN BY PROPOSER?	No
Comments	
DATE OF WITHDRAWAL	

PAR	PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL		
C.1.	DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION	2014-12-15	
C.2.	APPROVAL STATUS	Approved Change	
C.3.	DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS	2015-01-21	
C.4.	COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE		

PART D — IMPLEMENTATION			
D.1.	IMPLEMENTATION DATE	2015-01-27	
D.2.	D.2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.)		
(MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.) CSD0001 v3.0			