MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE CHANGE PROPOSAL			Form version 2.1
Change Proposal reference (To be completed by the TP Sec.)	MCCP096	Version No.	C.2

PART A — SUBMISSION						
A.1. GENERAL DETAILS	A.1. GENERAL DETAILS					
A.1.a. TITLE	Publication of the S Retrospective Ame	chedule of Additionandment Processes	ll Services and	Updates to		
A.1.b. COMPANY	СМА					
Change Proposals must Framework / Accession		person designated b	by the signatory	y to the Market Code		
A.1.c. AUTHORISED SIGNATURE	NAME					
A.1.d. CONTACT NAME	Neil Cohen	Neil Cohen Contact Email; Neil.coh 0117 94		cmascotland.co.uk 72		
A.1.e. ASSOCIATED MCCP/OCCP	None					
A.1.f. ASSOCIATED DOCS.	Annex 1: CSD0105 Drafting					
A.1.g. PROPOSED URGENCY	Non-urgent					
A.1.h. REASONS FOR URGENCY	N/A					
The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP / OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1						

#### A.2. MCCP/OCCP DETAILS

# A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)

Following discussions held by the Data Working Group (established by the TP/MPF to consider issues pertaining to retrospective amendments, amongst other issues), a number of recommendations have been forthcoming and this proposal seeks to deliver a number of these.

Firstly, although parties may incur charges from the CMA for the provision of retrospective amendments (and the provision of other services, such as ad-hoc reports), as detailed in the CMA's Schedule of Additional Services, the Market Code currently does not allow for this schedule to be published. Hence, any party that may be interested in availing itself of such a service must first enquire of the CMA as to the potential cost. It has been concluded that publication of the Schedule of Additional Services by the CMA should be enabled under the Market Code to remove this impediment.

Secondly, it has been recognised that CSD0105 needs to be updated to reflect current practice. In particular;

- The pro-forma for making retrospective amendment requests is not used. Instead, reflecting the
  typical approach that the CMA has adopted for progressing retrospective amendments, parties
  submit retrospective amendment requests using a spreadsheet that provides the input data for
  such an RA in the required formats.
- The CSD requires that any retrospective amendment should only be executed following a request from the relevant party. However, this request is not done and is not considered to be practical or of value for retrospective amendments that are effectively delivered via transaction.

It has been concluded that the above practice should be reflected in updates to CSD0105.

A.2.b. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET DOCUMENTS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)

#### **General Description**

In so far as the publication of the Schedule of Additional Services is concerned, the Market Code should be amended as follows:

Section 2.2.8 (iv)

develop and maintain a website relating to the Market Code and the carrying out of the CMA's duties

#### to include:-

- (a) the current version of the Market Code;
- (b) copies of all Market Code Change Proposals under consideration by the TP;
- (c) copies of all Approved Changes and/or Commission Changes which are not yet included in the current version of the Market Code:
- (d) any reports issued in accordance with the Market Code which are to be made available to any person;
- (e) any approved minutes, agendas and notices of meetings of the TP;
- (f) any guidance documents issued by the CMA;
- (g) a Schedule of Additional Services and
- (h) any other matters which the CMA considers appropriate or which are required to be published on the website under the Market Code.

#### Section 7.3.5

The CMA shall be entitled to charge for providing any Additional Service for a Trading Party in accordance with a Schedule of Additional Services, which the CMA shall publish. Where the Additional Service is requested on a one off or ad hoc basis the CMA shall be entitled to agree a charge for the relevant service with the Trading Party requesting the service.

The proposed amendments to CSD0105 are given in Annex 1.

#### Principles and Objectives affected

PRINCIPLE	AFFECTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION
Proportionality	Υ	Parties avoid the need to make enquiries to the CMA for the potential cost of many additional services.
Transparency	Υ	All Parties will be aware of the cost of many additional services and will have greater certainty as to the requirements for retrospective amendment submissions.
Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, and Security	N	
Non-exclusivity	N	
Barriers to Entry	N	

Customer Contact	N	
Non-discrimination	N	
Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions	N	
MC / OC OBJECTIVES	N	

A.2.c. IMPACT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)				
CONFIGURED ITEM	IMPACTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION		
MC / OC	Υ	See above		
CSDs	Υ	See Annex 1		
Wholesale Services Agreements	N			
Licenses	N			
CMA Central Systems	N			
CMA business processes	Y	The CMA will need to update its website, as additional services and/or associated charges change, or when changes to RA requests are needed.		
Trading Party systems	N			
Trading party business processes	N			

# A.2.d. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

See above and Annex 1.

## A.3. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

### A.3.a. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME

Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval.

e.g. yyyy-mm-dd

A.3.b	. Any limitations or dependencies for implementation
A.4.	ANY OTHER COMMENTS

PART B — TP ASSESSMENT					
B.1. ASSESSMENT PROCESS					
B.1.a. As	SESSMENT ART DATE	2012-04-19		ASSESSMENT END DATE	2012-04-19
B.1.b. IMF	PACT ASSESSMI	ENT REQUIREMENT		IA NOT REQUIRED	
B.1.c. Co	NSULTATION RE	EQUIREMENT		TP CONSULTATION NOT REQUIRED	
	SOCIATED DOCI this Part B)	JMENTS			
B.2. Asse	ESSMENT DETAI	LS			
		ATION AND IMPACT hat originally submitte	ed)		
-	B.2.b. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT (if different from that originally submitted)				
B.2.c. TP ASSESSMENT Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv)					
Impact on Principles and Objectives (if different from that originally submitted)					
Cost Estim	Cost Estimate				
Benefit Estimate (L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k)					
B.3. TP DECISION		TP A	PPROVED		
B.4. FINA	B.4. FINAL TP VIEWS				
B.5. PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION DATE May 2012					

WITHDRAWN BY PROPOSER?	No
COMMENTS	
DATE OF WITHDRAWAL	

PAF	PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL		
C.1.	DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION	2012-04-24	
C.2.	APPROVAL STATUS	APPROVED CHANGE / REJECTED	
C.3.	DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS	2012-05-15	
C.4.	COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE		

PAF	PART D — IMPLEMENTATION			
D.1.	IMPLEMENTATION DATE	yyyy-mm-dd		
D.2.	.2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.)			