

MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE CHANGE PROPOSAL

Form
version 2.1

Change Proposal reference
(To be completed by the TP Sec.)

MCCP112

Version No.

D.1

PART A — SUBMISSION

A.1. GENERAL DETAILS

A.1.a. TITLE

Change in the effective date setting of the T006.3 and T006.2

A.1.b. COMPANY

Scottish Water

Change Proposals must be authorised by the person designated by the signatory to the Market Code Framework / Accession Agreement

A.1.c. AUTHORISED
SIGNATURE

Jessie McLeman

NAME

Jessie McLeman

A.1.d. CONTACT
NAME

Stephen
McIntosh

CONTACT
EMAIL;
TEL./MOB.

Stephen.mcintosh@scottishwater.co.uk
0131 445 6446

A.1.e. ASSOCIATED
MCCP /
OCCP

MCCP093 – Previous validation issue

A.1.f. ASSOCIATED
DOCS.

A.1.g. PROPOSED
URGENCY

NON-URGENT

A.1.h. REASONS FOR
URGENCY

To address issue preventing SPIDs being made tradable.

The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP / OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1

A.2. MCCP / OCCP DETAILS

A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)

The Market Code was recently amended following the approval of MCCP093. Following that change and the associated changes in the Central Systems implemented on 21 June 2012, a further related issue has come to light. It seems the new issue was not identified as market participants were focused specifically on resolving the difficulties associated with the validation of Rateable Values.

Following the changes to the Central System implemented in June and the logic changes to implement the Potentially Chargeable and Tradability tests, Scottish Water has been working with Licensed Providers to try to register the Supply Points in the New & Partial Status (NAPS). The new issue that has come to light is very similar to the 'effective date' issue that was addressed through MCCP093 and resolved in June 2012. It affects transaction T006.3, Provide SS SPID Service Elements.

The Central System is undertaking validation in line with the Market Code. However, as with the previous Rateable Value issues, the Market Code does not cater for all the practical issues encountered in the market in the registration of Supply Points, and it is therefore impacting the orderly functioning of the Market.

This Change Proposal is particularly important given the significant focus on data.

A.2.b. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET DOCUMENTS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)

General Description

The change is being presented to address an issue which has meant that the registration of some Supply Points cannot happen in an orderly manner. It affects those Supply Points currently unable to be progressed and future transactions, so is not to address a one-off situation.

The T006.3 transaction effective date

The current central systems validation of a SPID pair or a sewerage SPID (where an existing water SPID pair already exists and is tradable) requires there to be a valid service element effective date for the sewerage SPID, which is on or before the connection date of the water SPID or sewerage SPID whichever is earlier. For sewerage-only SPIDs (i.e. where there is no Water SPID), there has to be a valid service element effective date on or before the connection date of the sewerage SPID. The T006.3 transaction (Provide SS SPID Service Elements) can often be submitted after the submission of a water SPID or sewerage SPID connection effective date, but there is no option in the T006.3 to set an effective date when processing the transaction. Therefore such SPIDs cannot easily be moved to tradable as they fail validation. Scottish Water receives a T007.0 submission error when submitting a SPID pair and the LP will receive a T007.1 submission error when trying to process the Sewerage SPID, where the associated water SPID pair is already tradable or when processing a sewerage only SPID.

Proposal to change the validations

This paper proposes the following changes:

- For a SPID pair in NAPS, where a T006.3 transaction date is after the connection date of the Water SPID the effective date associated with the T006.3 transaction should be set to the connection date of the Water SPID or to a chosen date in the past (prior to the connection date of the Water SPID) similar to the measure put in place to address the Rateable Value effective date validation.
- For sewerage only SPIDs and for sewerage SPIDs submitted where there is Tradable Water SPID already in the market and where the transaction date of the T006.3 is after the connection date of the sewerage SPID,

the effective date associated with the T006.3 transaction should be set to the connection date of the sewerage SPID or to a chosen date in the past (prior to the connection date of the sewerage SPID) similar to the measure put in place for the Rateable Value effective date validation.

- For completeness the change should be applied to the water service elements on transaction T006.2 (Provide WS SPID Service Elements)

It is recognised that the Licensed Provider is responsible for the data item once the SPID is tradable, but this issue is preventing the SPID from being registered in the first place.

Summary

In summary the change will address a number of critical issues:

- It will enable SPIDs to be registered where service element transaction dates are processed after the SPID connection date or water SPID connection date when processing a SPID pair:
- It will enable SPIDs to be registered by enabling the system to recognise the correct ordering of transactions; and
- it will provide for validations which take account of the practical issues encountered.

Principles and Objectives affected

| PRINCIPLE | AFFECTED (Y/N) | DESCRIPTION |
|--|----------------|--|
| Proportionality | Y | Ensure all market participants can properly register SPIDs in the market and move SPIDs to a tradable state. |
| Transparency | N | |
| Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, and Security | Y | Straightforward and transparent change |
| Non-exclusivity | N | |
| Barriers to Entry | N | |
| Customer Contact | N | |
| Non-discrimination | N | |
| Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions | N | Will ensure SW can properly process SPID pairs |
| MC / OC OBJECTIVES | | |

A.2.c. IMPACT
 Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)

| CONFIGURED ITEM | IMPACTED (Y/N) | DESCRIPTION |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| MC / OC | Y | Changes required to the Market Codes |
| CSDs | Y | CSD0301 |
| Wholesale Services Agreements | N | |
| Licenses | N | |
| CMA Central Systems | Y | Subject to confirmation by CMA |
| CMA business processes | Y | Validation rules |
| Trading Party systems | N | Subject to confirmation |
| Trading party business processes | N | Subject to confirmation |

A.2.d. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT
 Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

The changes to be applied to CSD0301 are to allow the removal of the Service to Caravans data items (D2019 / D2021) from the list of data items and from the T006.2 and T006.3 transaction, consistent with the updated User Requirements. The drafting changes are as follows to CSD0301;

Section 2.2

~~Services to Caravans (Sewerage) D2021~~
~~Services to Caravans (Water) D2019~~

Section 2.3

Data Item Number: ~~D2019~~
Data Item Name: ~~Services to Caravans (Water)~~
Data Item Logical Type: ~~numerical~~
Member of unique serial set: ~~no~~
Member of Valid Set: ~~no~~
Data Group: ~~SPID (Category)~~
Correction Method: ~~Retrospective Amendment~~
Data Owner: ~~LP or SW~~
Description: ~~Indicates whether or not the Supply Point receives this service (and how many)~~

Data Item Number: ~~D2021~~
Data Item Name: ~~Services to Caravans (Sewerage)~~
Data Item Logical Type: ~~numerical~~
Member of unique serial set: ~~no~~
Member of Valid Set: ~~no~~
Data Group: ~~SPID (Category)~~
Correction Method: ~~Retrospective Amendment~~
Data Owner: ~~LP or SW~~
Description: ~~Indicates whether or not the Supply Point receives this service (and how many)~~

Section 3.6

Transaction Number **T006.2**
Transaction Name Provide WS SPID Service Elements
From SW
To CMA

| DI # | Name | FLAG |
|------------------|--|---------------|
| D2001 | SPID | RQ |
| D2018 | Troughs & Drinking Bowls | RQ |
| D2019 | Service to Caravans (Water) | RQ |
| D2020 | Outside Taps | RQ |
| D2014 | Farm/Croft | RQ |

Description SPID data related to a WS Supply Point, sent by SW before the connection of a new WS Supply Point.

Transaction Number **T006.3**
Transaction Name Provide SS SPID Service Elements
From SW
To CMA

| DI # | Name | FLAG |
|---|--|---------------|
| D2001 | SPID | RQ |
| D2016 | Property Drainage | RQ |
| D2017 | Roads Drainage | RQ |
| D2024 | Services to Caravans (Sewerage) | RQ |
| Description | SPID data related to a SS | |
| A.3. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS | | |
| A.3.a. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval. | | |
| Currently scheduled for September 2013 Release | | |
| A.3.b. ANY LIMITATIONS OR DEPENDENCIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION | | |
| | | |
| A.4. ANY OTHER COMMENTS | | |
| | | |

PART B — TP ASSESSMENT

B.1. ASSESSMENT PROCESS

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------------------------|---------|
| B.1.a. ASSESSMENT START DATE | 2012-08-16 | ASSESSMENT END DATE | Ongoing |
| B.1.b. IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENT | | IA REQUIRED | |
| B.1.c. CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT | | TP CONSULTATION NOT REQUIRED | |
| B.1.d. ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS (to this Part B) | | | |

B.2. ASSESSMENT DETAILS

B.2.a. CHANGE SPECIFICATION AND IMPACT (if different from that originally submitted)

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

B.2.b. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT (if different from that originally submitted)

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

B.2.c. TP ASSESSMENT
Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv)

| | |
|---|------|
| Impact on Principles and Objectives (if different from that originally submitted) | |
| Cost Estimate | £19k |
| Benefit Estimate (L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k) | |

B.3. TP DECISION

TP APPROVED (OFF-LINE 2013-04-05)

B.4. FINAL TP VIEWS

B.5. PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION DATE

September 2013

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| WITHDRAWN BY PROPOSER? | No |
| COMMENTS | |
| DATE OF WITHDRAWAL | |

PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| C.1. DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION | 2013-04-15 |
| C.2. APPROVAL STATUS | APPROVED CHANGE |
| C.3. DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS | 2013-04-29 |
| C.4. COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE | |

PART D — IMPLEMENTATION

| | |
|---|------------|
| D.1. IMPLEMENTATION DATE | 2013-09-26 |
| D.2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.) | |
| CS v3.6 | |