MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE Form version 2.1							
Change Proposal referer (To be completed by the	MCCP0105		Version No.		D.1		
PART A — SUBM	PART A — SUBMISSION						
A.1. GENERAL DETAILS	A.1. GENERAL DETAILS						
A.1.a. TITLE	Changing ow	Changing ownership of Drainage Data and SEES Exemption Flags					
A.1.b. COMPANY	Business Str	Business Stream					
Change Proposals must Framework / Accession		by the per	son designated	l by the	e signator	y to t	he Market Code
A.1.c. Authorised signature		NAME James Bream		es Bream			
A.1.d. CONTACT NAME	James Bream CONTACT EMAIL; TEL./MOB. James.bream@business- stream.co.uk 0131 338 223						
A.1.e. ASSOCIATED MCCP / OCCP	N/A	N/A					
A.1.f. ASSOCIATED DOCS.							
A.1.g. PROPOSED URGENCY	Non-Urgent						
A.1.h. REASONS FOR URGENCY							
The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP / OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1							

A.2. MCCP / OCCP DETAILS

- A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)
 - 1. To change inefficient and inappropriate ownership of data items relating to drainage services.
 - 2. To change inefficient and inappropriate ownership of data items relating to the exemption scheme (SEES).
- A.2.b. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET DOCUMENTS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)

General Description

This change proposal forms part of our delivery plan to respond to the WICS letter seeking to maximise the quality of market data. This is one of a series of proposals which looks to create the best conditions to ensure data is complete and accurate as possible.

We will table two proposals which look to change the ownership of existing charging data items. Our proposal is based on experience of operating in the market and will reduce double handing of data therefore:

- Reducing risks of manual data handling creating errors
- Reducing risks of hand-offs creating errors
- Placing ownership of data with the party who administers the majority of the process

This particular proposal looks to change the ownership of data items:

- 1. Property Drainage D2016
- 2. Roads Drainage D2017
- 3. Exempt Customer Flag D2004.

The current owners of these data items are Licensed Providers once the SPID moves to a tradable status. We propose that this data ownership would move to Scottish Water. This proposal merges the previous MCCP0106 and this proposal MCCP0105 following discussions at the June Technical Panel.

To deliver the change the proposals will require a number of transactional changes which will include:

- a new transaction which contains data items from the T12.1 eg a T12.3 (Update Chargeable SPID Data items). This will include the ability for Scottish Water to update data items D2016 and D2017
- a new transaction which contains data items from the T029.2 eg a T29.3 or an addition to the T29.1 (Set SPID Special Arrangements). This will include the ability for Scottish Water to update data items D2004 (See MCCP 106)
- 3. a new transaction will also provide the updates from the new (eg T12.3) or expanded transactions from CMA to the LP eg a T12.4 or T29.3 or updated T29.1
- 4. the change proposal should also incorporate the:
 - a. removal of D2016, D2017 from the T12.1 LP transaction
 - b. the removal of the D2004 from the T029.2 Set SPID Special Arrangements LP transaction

c. the removal of D2019 and D2021 from the T12.1 transactions as these are no longer required

The CMA will undertake an impact assessment to understand the cost of a change to create a transaction which will now move this data item from SW to CMA to LP.

Principles and Objectives affected			
PRINCIPLE	AFFECTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION	
Proportionality	Ν	Relative to the scale of the potential issues associated with data quality this is a proportional change	
Transparency	Ν	This increases transparency of market data and data updates being made	
Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, and Security	Ν	This is (subject to IA) a straightforward and transparent change	
Non-exclusivity	Ν		
Barriers to Entry	Ν	It reduces barriers to entry by providing a more intuitive solution	
Customer Contact	Ν	No impact on customers	
Non-discrimination	Ν	This change is not discriminatory	
Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions	N	This will benefit Scottish Water by providing clearer links with their corporate systems and site visits	
MC / OC OBJECTIVES			

A.2.c. IMPACT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)			
CONFIGURED ITEM	IMPACTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION	
MC / OC	Y	Change to operational code (data update) primarily following verification of services	
CSDs	Y	Yes, as noted above re: transactional changes	
Wholesale Services Agreements	Ν		
Licenses	Ν		

CMA Central Systems	Υ	Yes – transactional changes
CMA business processes	?	Not known
Trading Party systems	Υ	Possible change to SW systems?
Trading party business processes	Y	Change to SW and LP processes following site visits

A.2.d. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT

Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

To be completed following impact assessment and definition of change

A.3. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

A.3.a. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval.

Proposed for implementation in September 2013

A.3.b. ANY LIMITATIONS OR DEPENDENCIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

A.4. ANY OTHER COMMENTS

PART B — TP ASSESSMENT				
B.1. ASSESSMENT PROCESS				
B.1.a. ASSESSMENT START DATE 2012-06-1	4	ASSESSMENT END DATE	2013-02-21	
B.1.b. IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRE	EMENT	IA REQUIRED		
B.1.c. CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT		TP CONSULTATION REQUIRED		
B.1.d. ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS (to this Part B)				
B.2. ASSESSMENT DETAILS				
B.2.a. CHANGE SPECIFICATION AND II (if different from that original				
B.2.b. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT (if different from that original	ly submitted)			
See Appendices				
B.2.c. TP ASSESSMENT Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv)				
Impact on Principles and Objectives (if different from that originally submitted)				
Cost Estimate				
Benefit Estimate (L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k)				
B.3. TP DECISION TP APPROVED				
B.4. FINAL TP VIEWS				
B.5. PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION DAT	e 2013	3-09		

WITHDRAWN BY PROPOSER?	No
Comments	
DATE OF WITHDRAWAL	

PAF	PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL		
C.1.	DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION	2013-03-07	
C.2.	APPROVAL STATUS	Approved Change	
C.3.	DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS	2013-03-22	
C.4.	COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE		

PART D — IMPLEMENTATION					
D.1.	IMPLEMENTATION DATE	2013-09-26			
D.2.	D.2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.)				
(MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.) CS v3.6					