MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE CHANGE PROPOSAL			Form version 2.1	
Change Proposal reference (To be completed by the TP Sec.)	**MCCP 123**		Version No.	**D.1**

PART A — SUBMISSION				
A.1. GENERAL DETAILS				
A.1.a. TITLE	Removal of TI flags from the T29			
A.1.b. COMPANY				
Change Proposals must be authorised by the person designated by the signatory to the Market Code Framework / Accession Agreement				
A.1.c. AUTHORISED SIGNATURE			NAME	Neil Cohen
A.1.d. CONTACT NAME	Neil Cohen	CONTACT EMAIL; TEL/MOB.	Neil.cohen@cmascotland.co.uk 0117 942 3272	
A.1.e. ASSOCIATED MCCP / OCCP				
A.1.f. ASSOCIATED DOCS.	Annex 2: CSD 0104 extracts			
A.1.g. PROPOSED URGENCY	NON-URGENT			
A.1.h. REASONS FOR URGENCY				
The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP / OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1				

A.2. MCCP / OCCP DETAILS	

A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS
Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)

Transitional charging in respect of meters finished at the end of March 2011.

For settlement of periods after March 2011, the effect of the TI indicator was to create an unmeasurable water or sewerage charge (as appropriate) for SPIDs where there is neither a current meter nor a current unmeasurable Service Element.

In two successive years, 2011 and 2012, the Market Auditor had stated that the current use of the TI flag to create unmeasurable service charges was inappropriate.

In response to this, SW asked the CMA to develop and run a retrospective amendment script to convert all existing TI indicators from TRUE to FALSE and explicitly creating, where necessary, metered or unmeasurable Service Elements for these SPIDs. This script was successfully run in March 2013, under the auspices of a suitable Approved Change (CMACPx123).

However, CSDs currently still allow for TI indicators (the D2022 Phasing Transitional Arrangement data item) to be set, via the T029.1 transaction and the CMA CS still holds TI indicators, albeit set to FALSE in all cases. This creates a risk that a TI indicator may be set inadvertently and the CS continues to support the associated processing.

A.2.b. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET DOCUMENTS
Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)

General Description

This proposal seeks to remove TI flags (the D2022 Phasing Transitional Arrangement data item) from the T029 transaction and amend associated processing to ensure that TI indicators cannot be set for periods following the date of the script run identified in CMACPx 123. The proposal also seeks to remove redundant references to TI indicators (and associated text) from the CSDs.

Principles and Objectives affected

PRINCIPLE	AFFECTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION
Proportionality	Y	The cost of the proposal should be outweighed by the avoided costs of future manual amendments.
Transparency	Y	CSDs are brought more explicitly and clearly into line with the WSA.
Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, and Security	Y	Removes redundant obligations from the CSDs.
Non-exclusivity	N	
Barriers to Entry	N	

Customer Contact	N	
Non-discrimination	N	
Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions	N	
MC / OC OBJECTIVES	N	

A.2.c. IMPACT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)		
CONFIGURED ITEM	IMPACTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION
MC / OC	N	
CSDs	Y	CSD 0301: Removal of D2022 (Phasing Transitional Arrangement) CSD 0104: Removal of references to TI indicators etc.
Wholesale Services Agreements	N	
Licenses	N	
CMA Central Systems	Y	Removal of the D2022 Phasing Transitional Arrangement from the T029.
CMA business processes	N	
Trading Party systems	Y	Some parties may still have TI indicator related logic in their systems. If so, this could now be removed.
Trading party business processes	N	

A.2.d.	DRAFT LEGAL TEXT
	Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

See Annex 2 (Changes to CSD 0104) and changes to CSD 0301are as follows:

- Remove Data Item D2022 and modify the T029.0 and T029.1 as below;

Transaction Number	T029.0	
Transaction Name	Notify SPID Special Arrangements	
From	CMA	
To	LP	
DI #	Name	FLAG
D2001	SPID	RQ
D4006	Effective From	RQ
D2003	Schedule 3	OP
D2004	Exempt Customer Flag	OP
D2006	29e	OP
D2007	Large User Volume Agreement	OP
Description	Notification of special arrangements in effect at a SPID.	

Transaction Number	T029.1	
Transaction Name	Set SPID Special Arrangements	
From	SW	
To	CMA	
DI #	Name	FLAG
D2001	SPID	RQ
D4006	Effective From	RQ
D2003	Schedule 3	OP
D2006	29e	OP
D2007	Large User Volume Agreement	OP
Description	Set special arrangements in effect at a SPID.	

A.3.	IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

A.3.a.	PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME
	Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval.

September 2013 Release.

A.3.b.	ANY LIMITATIONS OR DEPENDENCIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

A.4. ANY OTHER COMMENTS
Indicative User Requirements are given in Annex 1.

PART B — TP ASSESSMENT

B.1.	**ASSESSMENT PROCESS**		
B.1.a. ASSESSMENT START DATE	2013-04-18	ASSESSMENT END DATE	2013-04-19
B.1.b. IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENT		IA NOT REQUIRED	
B.1.c. CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT		TP CONSULTATION NOT REQUIRED	
B.1.d. ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS (to this Part B)			

B.2. **ASSESSMENT DETAILS**	
B.2.a. CHANGE SPECIFICATION AND IMPACT (if different from that originally submitted)	
B.2.b. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT (if different from that originally submitted)	
B.2.c. TP ASSESSMENT Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv)	
Impact on Principles and Objectives (if different from that originally submitted)	
Cost Estimate	Cost is very likely to be somewhat less than £9k
Benefit Estimate (L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k)	Supports meeting auditor requirements and avoids the risk of inadvertent setting of TI flags, requiring subsequent RAs (including review and analysis). Using CMA Cost Model assumptions: M.
B.3. TP DECISION	TP APPROVED
B.4. FINAL TP VIEWS	
B.5. PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	2013-09.

Withdrawn by proposer?	No
Comments	
Date of withdrawal	

PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL	
C.1. DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION	2013-05-13
C.2. APPROVAL STATUS	APPROVED CHANGE
C.3. DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS	2013-05-30
C.4. COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE	

PART D — IMPLEMENTATION	
D.1. IMPLEMENTATION DATE	2013-09-26
D.2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.)	
CS v3.6	