MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE CHANGE PROPOSAL			Form version 2.1
Change Proposal reference (To be completed by the TP Sec.)	MCCP143	Version No.	D.1

PART A — SUBMISSION					
A.1. GE	ENERAL DETAILS				
A.1.a. T	ГІТLЕ	Disaggregated Water	& Waste Settlement I	Reports	
A.1.b. C	COMPANY	Scottish Water Busine	ss Stream		
	Proposals must bork / Accession A	e authorised by the per greement	son designated by the	e signator	ry to the Market Code
	AUTHORISED SIGNATURE	Duncan Innes NAME Duncan Innes			
A.1.d. C	CONTACT NAME	Thomas Young CONTACT EMAIL; TEL./MOB. Thomas.young@business-stream.co.uk 0131 338 3137		co.uk	
	ASSOCIATED MCCP / OCCP				
	ASSOCIATED DOCS.	Annex 1: CSD0203 Mark up			
3	PROPOSED URGENCY	Non-urgent			
	REASONS FOR URGENCY				
The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP /					

OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1

A.2. MCCP/OCCP DETAILS

A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)

Currently the parameters provided for each line on the water and waste disaggregated settlement report extracts (X21, X22 and X23) are as at the end of the Invoice Period. Therefore if any parameters changed during the period then it is not reflected on the report (though they are reflected in the charges). This makes it harder for participants to carry out reconciliation on these settlement charges.

A.2.b. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET DOCUMENTS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)

General Description

A refinement to the water and waste settlement reports is proposed, whereby these will provide a breakdown of each service element to identify periods within the Invoice Period over which all parameters remain constant.

This paper is proposed as a companion to MCCP136 (Disaggregated Trade Effluent Settlement Reports), which proposes this level of detail being provided in a new Trade Effluent Disaggregated settlement report. It would be appropriate for the level of detail to be consistent across all settlement reports.

Principles and Objectives affected

PRINCIPLE	AFFECTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION
Proportionality	Υ	Time savings and improvements to the quality of SPID data will outweigh the costs of introducing the reports
Transparency	Υ	The new reports will make settlement arrangements for water and waste more clearly expressed and readily accessible.
Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, and Security	Y	While the reports will increase the complexity of the market arrangements, this will be justified by the benefits delivered. As noted above, cost-effectiveness will be enhanced.
Non-exclusivity	N	There will be no impact on this principle.
Barriers to Entry	Y	Improving the visibility of settlement calculations should make it easier for any new entrant to understand how their wholesale charges are derived

Customer Contact	N	N/A
Non-discrimination	N	There will be no impact on this principle.
Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions	N	There will be no impact on this principle.
MC / OC OBJECTIVES		

A.2.c. IMPACT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)			
CONFIGURED ITEM	IMPACTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION	
MC / OC	N		
CSDs	Υ	Revision of CSD0201 to include the modified reports	
Wholesale Services Agreements	N		
Licenses	N		
CMA Central Systems	Υ	In line with requirements of new CSD0201	
CMA business processes	N		
Trading Party systems	N		
Trading party business processes	N		

A.2.d. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

Contained in Annex 1

A.3. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

A.3.a. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME

Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval.

Sep-14

A.3.b.	A.3.b. ANY LIMITATIONS OR DEPENDENCIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION				
A.4.	ANY OTHER COMME	NTS			
PAR	PART B — TP ASSESSMENT				
B.1.	B.1. ASSESSMENT PROCESS				
B.1.a.	ASSESSMENT START DATE	2014-01-28	ASSESSMENT END DATE	2014-02-20	
B.1.b. IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENT		IA NOT REQUIRED			
B.1.c. CONSULTATION REQUIREMENT		TP CONSULTATION NOT R	EQUIRED		
B.1.d. ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS (to this Part B)					

B.2. ASSESSMENT DETAILS

B.2.a. CHANGE SPECIFICATION AND IMPACT (if different from that originally submitted)

B.2.b. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT (if different from that originally submitted)

B.2.c. TP ASSESSMENT

Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv)

Impact on Principles and Objectives (if different from that originally submitted)	
Cost Estimate	Cost Modelling suggests a cost of £9k
Benefit Estimate (L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k)	M On the assumption of 50 SPIDs needing to be checked

	per settlement report (on both wholesale and retail sides), with a time saving of 15 minutes each, the change should produce a benefit of at least £10,000 p.a. On top of this, we would expect the quality of t data to be improved.
B.3. TP DECISION	TP Approved
B.4. FINAL TP VIEWS	
B.5. PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	September 2014 Release

WITHDRAWN BY PROPOSER?	No
COMMENTS	
DATE OF WITHDRAWAL	

PAF	PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL		
C.1.	DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION	2014-02-24	
C.2.	APPROVAL STATUS	Approved Change	
C.3.	DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS	2014-03-21	
C.4.	COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE		

PART D — IMPLEMENTATION		
D.1.	IMPLEMENTATION DATE	2014-09-18
D.2.	2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.)	
CMA CS v4.1		