MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE CHANGE PROPOSAL			Form version 2.1
Change Proposal reference (To be completed by the TP Sec.)	MCCP197	Version No.	D.1

PART A — SUBMISSION						
A.1. GENERAL DETAILS						
A.1.a.	TITLE	LP Connection Reference				
A.1.b.	COMPANY	Business Stream	Business Stream			
Change Proposals must be authorised by the person designated by the signatory to the Market Code Framework / Accession Agreement						
A.1.c.	AUTHORISED SIGNATURE			NAME		
A.1.d.	CONTACT NAME	Duncan Innes	CONTACT EMAIL; TEL./MOB.	Duncan stream. 0131 33		
A.1.e.	ASSOCIATED MCCP / OCCP					
A.1.f.	ASSOCIATED DOCS.					
A.1.g.	PROPOSED URGENCY	Non-urgent				
A.1.h.	REASONS FOR URGENCY					
The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP / OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1						

A.2. MCCP/OCCP DETAILS

A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)

Although the SW Connection Reference (D2009) provides a way for LPs to tie new SPIDs back to the original applications (as do the SAA references and UARNs), it would also be helpful if LPs had the ability to include their own reference, to better manage the end-to-end process. This is particularly true in the case of developments involving multiple new connections, where it is useful to create a reference that identifies both the overall development and the individual connection.

A.2.b. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET DOCUMENTS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)

General Description

A new data item LP Connection Reference should be created. As with the SW Connection Reference, it would be included in the T01.0, T02.0 and T02.1 transactions (though with an optional flag, rather than a required one), and would not be added to the market data set. Its other parameters would all be identical to the SW Connection Reference.

Principles and Objectives affected

PRINCIPLE	AFFECTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION
Proportionality	N	
Transparency	N	
Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, and Security	Υ	This would help to improve the efficiency of processing new connections.
Non-exclusivity	N	
Barriers to Entry	N	
Customer Contact	N	
Non-discrimination	N	
Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions	N	
MC / OC OBJECTIVES		

A.2.c. IMPACT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)				
CONFIGURED ITEM	IMPACTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION		
MC / OC	N			
CSDs	Y	Amendments would be necessary to CSDs 0101, 0301 and 0301 Annex A		
Wholesale Services Agreements	N			
Licenses	N			
CMA Central Systems	Υ	Changes to transactions and new data item as above		
CMA business processes	N			
Trading Party systems	N			
Trading party business processes	Υ	LPs may adapt their business processes to provide a reference, and SW would adapt theirs to include this when provided.		

A.2.d. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

See attached annexes

A.3. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

A.3.a. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME

Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval.

September 2016

A.3.b. ANY LIMITATIONS OR DEPENDENCIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

A.4. ANY OTHER COMMENTS

PART B — TP ASSESSMENT					
B.1. ASSESSMENT PROCESS					
B.1.a. ASSESSMENT START DATE	2016-02-03		ASSESSMENT END DATE	2016-02-18	
B.1.b. IMPACT ASSESSME	ENT REQUIREMENT		IA NOT REQUIRED		
B.1.c. CONSULTATION RE	EQUIREMENT		TP CONSULTATION NOT REQUIRED		
B.1.d. ASSOCIATED DOCK (to this Part B)	JMENTS				
B.2. ASSESSMENT DETAIL	LS				
B.2.a. CHANGE SPECIFIC (if different from t	ATION AND IMPACT hat originally submitte	ed)			
B.2.b. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT (if different from that originally submitted)					
B.2.c. TP ASSESSMENT Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv)					
Impact on Principles and Objectives (if different from that originally submitted)					
Cost Estimate					
Benefit Estimate (L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k)					
B.3. TP DECISION		TP A	P APPROVED		
B.4. FINAL TP VIEWS		Unan	nanimously approved		
B.5. PLANNED IMPLEMEN	TATION DATE	Septe	ember 2016		

WITHDRAWN BY PROPOSER?	No
COMMENTS	
DATE OF WITHDRAWAL	

PAF	PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL		
C.1.	DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION	2016-03-03	
C.2.	APPROVAL STATUS	APPROVED CHANGE	
C.3.	DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS	2016-03-16	
C.4.	COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE		

PAI	PART D — IMPLEMENTATION			
D.1.	.1. IMPLEMENTATION DATE 2016-09-30			
D.2.	2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.)			
CSD	0101 v9.0 0301 v14.0 0301 Annex v14.0			