MARKET CODE / OPERATIONAL CODE CHANGE PROPOSAL						Form version 2.3
Change Proposal refere (To be completed by the		мсс	P255	Version No.		D.1
PART A — SUBN	IISSION					
A.1. GENERAL DETAILS						
A.1.a. TITLE	Discontinuat	ion of t	he R11			
A.1.b. COMPANY	СМА					
	Change Proposals must be authorised by the person designated by the signatory to the Market Code Framework / Accession Agreement					
A.1.c. AUTHORISED SIGNATURE	Neil Cohen	Neil Cohen		NAME		
A.1.d. CONTACT NAME	Neil Cohen	Neil Cohen CONTACT EMAIL; TEL/MOB.		Neil.cohen@ 0117 942 32		cotland.co.uk
A.1.e. ASSOCIATED MCCP / OCCP						
A.1.f. Associated docs.						
A.1.g. PROPOSED URGENCY	Non-urgent					
A.1.h. REASONS FOR URGENCY						
The CMA CEO will review this information and make a decision as to whether to take this MCCP / OCCP forward as urgent as defined under Market Code Part 8.9.1						

A.2. MCCP / OCCP DETAILS					
A.2.a. ISSUE OR DEFECT WHICH THIS MCCP / OCCP SEEKS TO ADDRESS Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (b) and 8.8.1 (ii) (b)					
settlement was introduced via a t RV were modified such that incre	ransition arrangeme easing proportions of ed in MCCP214 – CC	(as described in MCCP201) and their use in nt whereby settlement calculations involving the Live RV replaced equivalent calculations C). This transition regime began in February			
This means that, from April 2020 onwards, any reference to the historic RV would only pertain to periods prior to this date and that for any period after April 2020 any transactions, RAs, performance measures and settlement calculations would only be relevant for Live RV. In particular, LPs should no longer submit RVs unless historic changes relating to periods prior to April 2020 are involved.					
For relevant transactions and RAs, the requirement to submit a Live RV, but not to submit a (historic) RV, except for historic purposes, is already catered for. Settlement calculations have also been set up to automatically account for the end of the transition from RV to Live RV. For the Performance Measures however, the R11 refers explicitly to the timing of LP submissions for the RV and the last such run of the R11 which is therefore required is that for the 2019/20 Financial Year, scheduled for August 2020.					
	ONAL CODE OBJECTIVI	THE MCCP / OCCP AND HOW IT MEETS THE ES AND PRINCIPLES FOR THE MARKET c) and 8.8.1 (ii) (c)			
General Description					
This MCCP seeks to discontinue measure is no longer applicable		ce Measure after August 2020, since this			
Principles and Objectives affecte CMA Guidance Note GN009 may		ssistance with this section			
PRINCIPLE	AFFECTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION			
Proportionality	Y	Discontinuation is a simple process, with minimal impact.			
Transparency N/A					
Simplicity, Cost-effectiveness, Y As above.					
Non-exclusivity	N/A				
Barriers to Entry	N/A				
Customer Contact	N/A				
Non-discrimination	N/A				

Non-detrimental to SW Core Functions	N/A	
MC / OC OBJECTIVES	Y	

A.2.c. IMPACT Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d), (f) and (g), and 8.8.1 (ii) (d) and (f)				
CONFIGURED ITEM	IMPACTED (Y/N)	DESCRIPTION		
MC / OC	Ν			
CSDs	Y	See below		
Wholesale Services Agreements	Ν			
Licenses	Ν			
CMA Central Systems	Υ	Deletion of the R11		
CMA business processes	Ν			
Trading Party systems	Υ	Deletion of the R11		
Trading party business processes	N			

A.2.d. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT

Required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (ii) (d) and 8.8.1 (ii) (d)

The R11 entry in Table 1 of CSD0002 should be removed.

A.3. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

A.3.a. PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE OR LEAD TIME Timescale must not overlap with the period of consultation with the Commission and should take account of the impacts identified in Section A.2.c. Any quoted lead time should commence from date of Approval.

March 2021

A.3.b. ANY LIMITATIONS OR DEPENDENCIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION

A.4. ANY OTHER COMMENTS

Indicative User Requirements are as follows:

UR 1 (GPSUR 1 OPERATION). The following change to this requirement should be delivered prior to July 2021 (red text):

The performance reports (except R11) should generally be run on a monthly basis, as scheduled events, typically 6 Business Days after the end of the month for which the measures are to be assessed in order to ensure that, for the R10 measure, legitimate meter reads submitted 5 BDs after the read date are taken account of. However, there should be a capability to re-run previous months.

The R11 report shall be run once a year, in July, for the previous financial year.

The creation of the performance reports should not have an adverse performance effect on the rest of the Central System.

As with all well-designed software, the reports should be created in such a way that allows for easy extension and modification.

UR 2 (PPSUR 2.3). The following change to this requirement should be delivered prior to July 2021 (red text):

PPSUR – 2.3	LP CMA Performance Standard Charge		
Summary	This is the quarterly amount payable by each LP to the CMA. It is		
	calculated from the LP Transaction Level Performance Standard		
	Charge Components for the identified quarter.		
Input Data and	• PPSUR 3.1: The sum of the R1A and R1B Charge Components		
Source	PPSUR 3.5: The sum of the R5A Charge Components		
	• PPSUR 3.9: The sum of the R9A, R9B, R9C, R9D and R9E		
	Charge Components.		
	• PPSUR 3.10: The sum of the R10A and R10B Charge		
	Components		
	PPSUR 3.11: The sum of the R11 Charge Components		
Calculation/Process	PSC ^q _{CMA} = Sum of (R1A + R1B + R4A + R4B + R5A + R9A + R9B +		
	R9C + R9D + R9E + R10A +R10B Charge Components) for each		
	month in the quarter, summed to give the quarterly amount, except for		
	Q2, which should be identical to the above, but with the sum of the		
	R11 Charge Components also added, plus the equivalent charges for		
	a Transferee, if this LP is a Transferor. After February 2020, the values		
	for R4A and R4B will be zero.		
Output Data and	PPSUR 2.1		
Location			

UR 2 (PPSUR 2.3). The following change to this requirement should be delivered prior to July 2021 (red text):

PUR – 3.11	R11 Inappropriate £0 RV (LP) Performance Charge Component
Summary	This parameter identifies the components of charge for the sum of the
	instances on test days within the reporting year, when an inappropriate
	£0 RV exists against a SPID, where inappropriate means;

	A SPID with unmeasurable service elements on given test days or	
	A SPID with the Metering Programme set to True.	
	In both cases, failure creates a Level 3 Charge.	
Input Data and	Period Start Date; being the first calendar day of the previous	
Source	financial year	
	 Period End Date; being the last calendar day of the previous 	
	financial year	
	Level 3 Charge	
	SPID data; responsible LP, RV.	
Calculation/Process	Step 1 Identify all relevant SPIDs (to be checked for success/fail),	
	being SPIDs that meet the following criteria;	
	 SPIDs which are, or have at any time been Tradable, or TDISC, 	
	• SFIDS which are, of have at any time been madable, of FDISC, within the reporting period (the period from the Period Start Date to	
	the Period End Date, inclusive).	
	Stop 2 Identify the Test Days	
	<u>Step 2</u> Identify the Test Days;	
	Test Day A – the earliest day that the SPID is either Tradable or TDISC on an effort the Derived Start Date and on an before the	
	TDISC on or after the Period Start Date and on or before the	
	Period End Date	
	Test Day B – the earlier of; the day before the SPID is DEREG or	
	PDISC, on or after the Period Start Date and on or before the	
	Period End Date, and the Period End Date.	
	Step 3 Identify Success Dates and Failure Dates and Failure Reasons.	
	Each Test Day will be a Failure Date if;	
	For a Water SPID (with or without an Associated Sewerage SPID)	
	the Failure Reason will be -	
	 Water Unmeasurable. RV is not greater than zero and 	
	there is an Unmeasurable Service Element or	
	 Transition. RV is not greater than zero and the Metering 	
	Programme is set to True or	
	 Sewerage Unmeasurable. RV is not greater than zero and 	
	any Associated Sewerage SPID has an Unmeasurable	
	Service Elements, or	
	 Transition. RV is not greater than zero and any Associated 	
	Sewerage SPID has its Dxxx_Metering Programme set to	
	True, or	
	 Property Drainage. RV is not greater than zero and any 	
	Associated Sewerage SPID has a Property Drainage	
	Service Element. or	
	 Roads Drainage. RV is not greater than zero and any 	
	Associated Sewerage SPID has a Roads Drainage	
	Service Element.	
	For a Sewerage SPID with no Associated Water SPID –	
	→ RV is not greater than zero and there is an Unmeasurable	
	Service Element, or	
	Or the Element, or → RV is not greater than zero and the Metering Programme	
	→ KV IS not greater than zero and the metering Programme is set to True, or	
	 → RV is not greater than zero and there is a Property 	
	Drainage Service Element, or	
	 RV is not greater than zero and there is a Roads Drainage Service Element 	
	Service Element.	
	For any SPID which has a D2025 SPID Status of TTRAN, or for	
	which the RV is greater than zero, or is not greater than zero, but	
	none of the above apply, then the Test Day becomes a Success	
	Date.	
L		

	Step 4 Identify the relevant LP, being the current LP on the particular Test Day.
	Step 5 Calculate R11 CMA Performance Charge Components for the LP responsible for the SPID on the Failure Date; If an LP is responsible for a Water SPID (with or without an Associated Sewerage SPID) on one or more Failure Dates, a Level 3 Charge should be allocated to that LP.
	If an LP is responsible for a Sewerage SPID (with no Associated Water SPID) on one or more Failure Dates, a Lovel 3 Charge should be allocated to that LP.
Output Data and Location	 GPSUR 4. R11 Failures on or after the Period Start Date and on or before the Period End Date, with Failure Dates and Reason for each SPID for each LP. PPSUR 2.3. Sum of Level 3 Charges for each LP

PAR	PART B — TP ASSESSMENT				
B.1. /	ASSESSMENT PROC	ESS			
B.1.a.	ASSESSMENT START DATE	2020-07-02		ASSESSMENT END DATE	2020-08-20
B.1.b.	IMPACT ASSESSM	ENT REQUIREMENT		IA NOT REQUIRED	
B.1.c.	CONSULTATION R	EQUIREMENT		TP CONSULTATION NOT R	EQUIRED
B.1.d.	Associated doc (to this Part B)	UMENTS			
B.2. /	ASSESSMENT DETAI	ILS			
B.2.a.	CHANGE SPEC AN	D IMPACT (IF DIFFERE	ENT FR	OM THAT ORIGINALLY SUBM	ITTED)
B.2.b.	CMA INTERNAL S	YSTEMS IMPACT			
B.2.c.	B.2.c. DRAFT LEGAL TEXT (if different from that originally submitted)				
B.2.d.	 B.2.d. TP ASSESSMENT Taking into account complexity, importance and urgency, and having regard to whether or not such proposal is within the relevant Objectives and Principles as required under Market Code Parts 8.7.1 (v) and 8.8.1 (iv) 				
	Impact on Principles and Objectives (if different from that originally submitted)				
Cost Estimate Cl		СМА	Cost Modelling suggests	: £9k (i.e. de-minimis)	
Benefit Estimate (L: < 10k, M: £10k to £100k, H: > £100k)					
B.3.	B.3. TP DECISION TP APPROVED				
B.4. I	B.4. FINAL TP VIEWS Unanimously approved 2020-08-20			08-20	
B.5. I	B.5. PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION DATE March 2021				

WITHDRAWN BY PROPOSER?	No
Comments	
DATE OF WITHDRAWAL	

PAR	PART C — COMMISSION APPROVAL		
C.1.	DATE FINAL REPORT ISSUED TO COMMISSION	2020-09-01	
C.2.	APPROVAL STATUS	Approved Change	
C.3.	DATE OF APPROVAL STATUS	2020-10-15	
C.4.	COMMISSION RESPONSE REFERENCE		

PART D — IMPLEMENTATION				
D.1.	IMPLEMENTATION DATE	2021-03-25		
D.2.	2. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS (MC version, CSD versions, CMA Central Systems release number, etc.)			